PAR 1110.2 Cost Effectiveness Calculations - Preliminary	Draft (see notes	1							
Fuel	BIOGAS	BIOGAS	NON- BIOGAS	NON- BIOGAS	NON- BIOGAS	NON- BIOGAS	NON- BIOGAS	NON- BIOGAS	NON- BIOGAS
RECLAIM?			RECLAIN	RECLAIM	RECLAIM	RECLAIM	RECLAIM	RECLAIM	RECLAIM
BACT?			BACT	BACT	NON-BACT		T NON-BACT		
Rich-Burn or Lean-Burn?			RICH	RICH	RICH	RICH	LEAN-4S	LEAN-2S	LEAN
>1000 HP				NON-		NON-			NON-
or NOx-Major?	=>1000	<1000	MAJOR	MAJOR	MAJOR	MAJOR	MAJOR	MAJOR	MAJOR
Number of Engines (Survey Data)	41	13	3	1 1	6 1	36	5 13	15	8
Number of Engines (Total Population))	59	19	9	1 2	3 1				
Average HP (Survey Data)	2,682		,						
Total HP (Total Population)	158,238							39,138	
New CEMS (Survey Data)		4.315		4.39		10,619			1.975
New CEMS (Total Population)		6.200		6.32		15,257			2.838
Non-CEMS Engines (Survey Data)		4	-	. (		(			3
Non-CEMS Engines (Total Population)	43.3	38.1		1 5				106	50
Baseline NOx, ppmvd @ 15% O2 Baseline VOC, ppmvd @ 15% O2	43.1	48							
Baseline NOx, TPY	853.3								
Baseline VOC, TPY	295.4								
Controlled NOx, ppmvd @ 15% O2	11	11							
Controlled VOC, ppmvd @ 15% O2	30	30							
Controlled NOx, TPY	216.8	16.3	2.7	17.9	2.8	23.7	556.2	8,7	0.0
Controlled VOC, TPY	205.6	15.4							
(NOx+VOC) Reduction, 10-Yr Tons	7,263	493	937	1,395	49	407	1,424	7,564	245
Technology to Reduce Emissions to	Gas Cleanup	Gas Cleanup			Upgrade	Upgrade	I4-W	Darless	
Natural Gas BACT	System, SCR	System, SCR				Three-Way		Replace with Electric	Install
	and Oxidation Catalyst	and Oxidation Catalyst				Catalyst to Meet BACT		Motor	Catalyst
	Catalyst	Calalyst			MICEL DAG I	MICEL DAG I	Catalyst	WOLO	Outdiyst
Initial Cost, \$	100,865,416	13,299,467							
Annual O&M Cost, \$	17,392,704	1,906,929	0		9,657	248,106	129,849	6,338,801	39,669
Add CO Analyzer		_		_		_		_	_
Initial Cost, \$	826,000	0						0	_
Annual O&M Cost, \$	0	Ü	0	·	U	U		U	U
New CEMS									
Initial Cost, \$	0	1,066,351	0	1,087,109	0	2,624,236	. 0	0	488,075
Annual O&M Cost, \$	0	216,990	0	221,214	0	534,001	0	0	99,318
Add AFRC									
	_				_		_	_	
Initial Cost, \$	0	120,000	0			180,000		0	80,000
Annual O&M Cost, \$	0	18,000	0	27,000	0	27,000	U	Ū	12,000
Incr Source Testing and I&M Program									
Initial Cost. \$	0	44,333	0	66,500	0	66,500	0	0	29,555
Annual O&M Cost, \$	ō	82,763	ő		ō	124,144	. 0	o	55,175
	_								
Total Initial Cost, \$	101,691,416	14,530,150	14,000	1,333,609	63,125	3,900,733	877,517	1,588,264	738,626
Total Annual O&M Cost, \$	17,392,704	2,224,682	0	372,358	9,657	933,251	129,849	6,338,801	206,162
Present Value of 10-Yr Costs, \$	248,485,841	33,306,464		4,476,309		11,777,374		55,087,745	<u>2,478,632</u>
Cost Effectiveness, \$ per ton (NOx+VOC)	34,212	67,509	15	3,209	2,976	28,943	1,386	7,283	10,119
•	I lancada ta	la grade to							
		Upgrade to PUC Gas,	Replace	Replace					
	Microturbines.			with	Replace	Replace	Replace		Replace
	Fuel Cells (to I			Electric			with Electric		with Electric
Incremental Analysis				Motor		Motor	Motor		Motor
Power Plant Emissions, TPY		•							
NOx			0.4	2.9	0.5	3.8	13.6		0.8
VOC			0.1	0.8	0.1	1.1	3.9		0.2
(NOx+VOC) Reduction, 10-Yr Tons			984	1,707	98	820	7,617	7,676	283
Initial Cost, \$				1,375,377	74,556	2,929,950	1,580,413		621,240
Annual O&M Cost, \$				2,067,498	327,280	2,740,417	9,817,611		593,630
Present Value of Ten-Year Costs, \$  Average* Cost Effectiveness, \$/ton (NOx+VOC)				18,825,064	28,960	26,059,067 31,772	11,085		5,631,480 19,893
Incremental Cost Effectiveness, \$/ton (NOx+VOC)	:)		2,789 57,221	11,030 46,019	20,960 54,544	34,557	13,316		82,663
Incremental Cost Effectiveness, \$100 (NOX+VOC	<u>'</u>	<del></del>	01,221	40,018	<del>99,044</del>	54,557	13,010		92,003

\*Rule 1110.2 Baseline

PAR 1110.2 Cost Effectiveness Calculations - Pre	iminary Draft (see not NON-	<u>(es)</u> NON-	NON-	NON-	NON-	NON-	NON-	
Fuel	BIOGAS	BIOGAS	BIOGAS	BIOGAS	BIOGAS	BIOGAS	BIOGAS	
ruci	NON-	NON-	NON-	NON-	NON-	NON-	NON-	
RECLAIM?	RECLAIM	RECLAIM	RECLAIM		RECLAIM	RECLAIM	RECLAIM	
BACT?	BACT	BACT	BACT	BACT	NON-BACT			
Rich-Burn or Lean-Burn?	RICH	RICH	LEAN	LEAN	RICH	RICH	LEAN	
								Sums an
>1000 HP								Overa
or NOx-Major?	=>1000	<1000	=>1000	<1000	=>1000	<1000	<1000	Average
Number of Engines (Survey Data)	28	3 24	8 1	6 3		5 179		62
Number of Engines (Total Population))	40		6 2	3 4		7 257		89
Average HP (Survey Data)	1,674							
Total HP (Total Population)	66,960							637,06
New CEMS (Survey Data)		42.53				24.12		8
New CEMS (Total Population)		61.11		1.437		34.655		120
Non-CEMS Engines (Survey Data)		14		1		113		270
Non-CEMS Engines (Total Population)		20:		1 10.0		162		394
Baseline NOx, ppmvd @ 15% O2	1140							
Baseline VOC, ppmvd @ 15% O2 Baseline NOx, TPY	8.3							3,943.6
Baseline VOC, TPY	3,306.6							6,404.5
Controlled NOx, ppmvd @ 15% O2	3,300.0 11							U,TVT.
Controlled VOC, ppmvd @ 15% O2	30							
Controlled NOx. TPY	91.7	-						1,293.9
Controlled VOC, TPY	87.0							779.5
(NOx+VOC) Reduction, 10-Yr Tons	31,362					11,220	19	82,747
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							
Technology to Reduce Emissions to					Upgrade	Upgrade	Install	
Natural Gas BACT					Three-Way	Three-Way	SCR and	
natural das bhor					Catalyst to	Catalyst to	Oxidation	
					Meet BACT	Meet BACT	Catalyst	
Initial Cost, \$	0			0	251,008	4,701,340	426,420	122,963,550
Annual O&M Cost, \$	. 0				,		-	27,443,530
• 1	v		,	, ,	J2, 123	1,101,341	104,541	21,440,000
Add CO Analyzer								
Initial Cost, \$	560,000	0	322,000	0	98,000		0	2,100,000
Annual O&M Cost, \$	0	C	) (	0	0	. 0	0	0
New CEMS								
					_		_	
Initial Cost, \$	0	10,511,029			0			21,984,615
Annual O&M Cost, \$	0	2,138,872	C	50,287	0	1,212,931	0	4,473,614
Add AFRC		-						
Initial Cost, \$	0	4,060,000	0	20,000	0	3,240,000	60,000	7,940,000
Annual O&M Cost, \$	0	609,000	-		Ď	486,000		1,191,000
	•	000,000	•	0,000	•	100,000	5,555	1,101,000
Incr Source Testing and I&M Program								
Initial Cost, \$	0	1,499,939	0	7,389	0	1,196,995	22,167	2,933,377
Annual O&M Cost, \$	0	2,800,131	0	13,794	0	2,234,588	41,381	5,476,119
Total Initial Cost, \$	560,000	16,070,967	322,000	274,515	349,008	15,099,025	508,587	157,921,542
Total Annual O&M Cost, \$	0	5,548,003	0	67,081	52,125	5,094,865	214,723	38,584,262
Present Value of 10-Yr Costs, \$	560,000	62,896,116	322,000	840,680		58,099,687		483,572,716
Cost Effectiveness, \$ per ton (NOx+VOC)	18_	4,674	56	103,324	693	5,178	124,519	5,844
				Danlass			Donland	
	Donlage		Banlasa	Replace with	Replace	Replace	Replace with	
	Replace	Penlace with	Replace		,	with Electric		
ncremental Analysis		Replace with Electric Motor			Motor	Motor	Motor	
Power Plant Emissions, TPY	MOU	LISCUIC WIOTOI	motor	motor				
NOx	14.7	27.7	10.8	8.0	1.8	16.0	0.1	94.1
VOC	4.2	7.9	3.1	0.0	0.5	4.6	0.0	26.9
(NOx+VOC) Reduction, 10-Yr Tons	32,960	16,464	6,944	93	1,334	12,962	32	89,975
initial Cost, \$	2,851,918	20,180,570	1,728,568	254,603	-	14,266,644	160,757	46,565,211
Annual O&M Cost, \$	10,597,037	19,944,460	7,804,079	560,871		11,551,024	86,884	67,705,668
Present Value of Ten-Year Costs. \$						111,757,285	*	618,001,047

92,290,913 **2,800** 

57,398

11,450

41,763

53,799

49,035

8,562

54,318

188,511,811 67,594,992 4,988,352 11,424,748 111,757,285

9,734

67,159

Present Value of Ten-Year Costs, \$
Average\* Cost Effectiveness, \$/ton (NOx+VOC)

Incremental Cost Effectiveness, \$/ton (NOx+VOC

67,705,668 618,001,047

6,869

18,600

86,884 894,060

28,167

8,622

30,802 -108,889

<sup>\*</sup>Rule 1110.2 Baseline

## PAR 1110.2 Cost Effectiveness Calculations - Preliminary Draft -- Notes

#### General

Calculations assume 8000 hrs per year engine operation and 31% engine efficiency (HHV).

The ten-year present-value calculation assumes a 4% real interest rate.

Survey results were scaled up to represent total-population estimates based on 69.6% response rate to survey. Emission reductions and costs are for the total engine population. Diesel engines (6 in the survey) are not included in the cost calculations. Survey data indicate that all diesels are in RECLAIM and operate with low VOC/CO and all have CEMS. Number of new CEMS in a category may not be a whole number since CEMS may be shared (up to three on one CEMS) by engines at the same site but in different categories.

#### **Baseline Emissions**

#### **Biogas Engines**

Baseline emissions are horsepower-weighted averages of NOx limits, landfill gas VOC limits (40 ppm @ 15% O2 as methane) and average source test VOC results for digester gas engines (48 ppm @ 15% O2 as methane).

## Rich-Bum Engines

BACT engines with CEMS-AQMD compliance tests show that CO (and presumably VOC) is 38 X BACT timit on average and that NOx is approximately 10% of the BACT limit on average.

BACT engines without CEMS-AQMD compliance tests show that both NOx and CO (and presumably VOC) are 5 X BACT limits.

Non-BACT engines without CEMS-AQMD compliance tests show that NOx is 2 X the Rule 1110.2 limit on average and CO (and presumably VOC) is 0.7 X the Rule 1110.2 limit on average. The Rule 1110.2 NOx limit used in the calculation was the horsepower-weighted average (36 ppm @ 15% O2 for = or >500 hp and 45 ppm @ 15% O2 for < Non-BACT engines with CEMS--although there is no compliance test data for this category, it is assumed that CO and VOC are at least as high relative to the Rule 1110.2 limit as is found on Non-BACT engines without CEMS (0.7 X the Rule limit).

Non-BACT engines in RECLAIM-baseline emissions are based on average source test results.

### Lean-Burn Engines

BACT engines with CEMS--tests on a 737 hp, 4-stroke, lean-burn engine showed that minimum NOx was achieved with about 300 ppm VOC (corrected to 15% O2) (EPA-454/R-00-037: Testing of a 4-Stroke Lean Burn Gas-fired Reciprocating Internal Combustion Engine to Determine the Effectiveness of an Oxidation Reduction Catalyst System for Reduction of Hazardous Air Pollutant Emissions, September 2001.).

BACT engines without CEMS--AQMD compliance tests show that NOx was 1.8 X the BACT limit on average and CO (and presumably VOC) was 0.33 X the BACT limit on average.

Non-Biogas Engine

Non-BACT engines in RECLAIM--baseline emissions are based on average source test results.

Non-BACT, non-RECLAIM engines--one compliance test showed 40 ppm NOx and 25 ppm VOC, both corercted to 15% O2.

## Install fuel cleanup system, SCR system and oxidation catalyst on biogas-fired engine

	<u>2682 hp</u>		<u>625 hp</u>		<u>183 hp</u>	
	Initial	Annual	Initial	Annual	Initial	Annual
	Costs, \$	Costs, \$	Costs, \$	Costs, \$	Costs, \$	Costs, \$
Biogas cleanup (siloxane removal) system installed cost, \$	1,073,247		447,871			
Sorbent disposal and replacement, \$/yr		93,870		21,875		
Selective catalytic reduction system installed cost, \$	260,799		94,654		46,550	
Startup	9,100		9,100		9,100	
Contingency (10%)	26,080		9,465		4,655	
Total	295,978		113,220		60,305	
Annual cleaning, replace catalyst every 3 years		45,466		17,776		9,758
Cost of urea, \$/yr		32,553		22,855		22,855
Oxidation catalyst installed cost,\$	29,279		10,562		6,431	
Annual cleaning, replace catalyst every 3 years		6,880		3,760		3,072
Power loss to blower for 9 in. H2O pressure drop @ \$.083/kWh, \$/yr		75,123		17,506		17,506
Project management- 160 hrs @ \$55	8,800		8,800		8,800	
AQMD application fee	2,300		2,300		2,300	
Performance test	4,000		4,000		4,000	
Annual maintenance cost @ 3% of original equipment cost, \$/yr	·	40,900		16,593		1,589
	1,709,583	294,792	699,972	100,365	142,140	54,780
Installed cost and annual cost for a biogas cleanup system was obtained	ed from a vend	or specializing	g in that equip	ment.		
The SCR system costs were obtained from a vendor specializing in tha	t equipments	ee AQMD sta	ff report			

"Proposed Amended Best Available Control Technology (BACT) Guidelines, Part D- Non-Major Polluting				
Facilities, Regarding Emergency Compression-ignition (Diesel) Engines", April 2003, Appendix H.				
The oxidation catalyst installed cost was obtained from a vendor specializing in that equipment.				
Replace three-way catalyst to meet 11 ppm NOx	2068 hp	333 hp	1172 hp	284 hp
New catalyst (installed) (vendor figure)	45,945	16,628	32,678	15,113
Project management (16 hrs @ \$55)	880	880	880	880
AQMD application fee	2,300	2,300	2,300	2,300
Total	49,125	19,808	35,858	18,293
Annual Maintenance, replace catalyst every 3 years	9,657	4,771	7,446	4,519
Install oxidation catalyst to meet 30 ppm VOC and 70 ppm CQ	3265 hp	341 hp		
Oxidation catalyst (Installed) (vendor figure)	29,005	9,638		
Project management (16 hrs @ \$55)	880	880		
AQMD application fee	2,300	2,300	_	
Total	32,185	12,818		
Annual O&M Cost Annual maintenance, replace catalyst every 3 years	6,834	3,606		
Replace engine with electric motor	250 hp	750 hp	1779 hp	
Engine removal (vendor figure)	15,000	15,000	15,000	
Electric motor, installed (including electrical connections and controls) (vendor figure)	37,700	44,886	54,994	
Project management (40 hrs @ \$55)	2,200	2,200	2,200	
Total	54,900	62,086	72,194	
Cost of power (SCE TOU-8 rate schedule-\$175/kW-Yr demand charges, \$.088/kWh ann. avg.), \$/Yr	173,487	520,461	1,234,532	
Avoided cost of fuel @ \$0.81 per therm, \$/Yr	132,997	398,990	946,405	
Net operating cost	40,490	121,470	288,127	

Power and fuel calculations assume 31% engine efficiency, 95% motor efficiency (including line loss), 8000 hrs per year operation. Emissions produced by central power plant assumed to be .07 NOx and .02 VOC (lb/MWh) For biogas engine, additional emissions from flaring the gas are assumed to be .06 lb.MMBtu NOx and 40 ppm VOC (@ 15% O2).

Add CO analyzer to existing CEMS

The cost of a CO analyzer (\$8,000 to \$11,000) was obtained from a CEMS vendor. The cost of reprogramming the DAS is estimated to be about \$3000. The impact on span gas costs is expected to be minimal since CO can be added to the NOx span gases at little additional cost. The impact on RATA tests is expected to be minimal.

# Install New NOx-CO CEMS

The installed cost and annual cost of a NOx-CO CFMS were obtained from a ven	dee en establishen in Abrah marriament
the installed cost and annual cost of a NUX-CO CEMS were obtained from a ven	dor specializing in mat equipment.

	_	 Initial	Annual
		Costs, \$	Costs, \$
NOx-CO CEMS		86,000	
Data Aquisition System		25,000	
Special Provisions for Sharing (AQMD estimate)		5,000	
Installation		20,000	
Certification Testing		4,200	
Startup and Training		25,000	
Project Management		2,800	
AQMD Fees		4,000	
Span Gases			10,000
RATAs (two per year)			10,000
Maintenance			15,000
		172,000	35,000

Install air/fuel ratio controller on a lean burn engine
The installed and operating cost of an air/fuel ratio controller was obtained from a vendor specializing in that equipment.
Installed Cost, \$ 20,0 Operating Cost (periodic changeout of O2 sensor(s)), \$/yr

3,000

# Increased Source Testing and I&M Requirements for Non-CEMS Engines

,	Initial	Annual
•	Costs, \$	Costs, \$
One additional source test every six years		0
AQMD Protocol and Report Evaluation Fees		186
Source test protocol with every source test		28
I&M Plan	880	
AQMD Plan Evaluation Fee	209	
Initial Parametric Test	1,300	
Alarm System (installed)	5,000	
Weekly/Monthly Emission Checks (18 tests per year)		5,400
Daily inspections		3,194
Repeat parametric test whenever O2 sensor is changed (quarterly)		5,200
	7,389	13,794